

# Madagascar

## *Ethnicity in Madagascar*

### *Group selection*

Experts are divided on whether Madagascar should be considered an ethnically homogenous or heterogeneous country. Those arguing that Madagascar is homogenous consider all indigenous residents as Malagasy, since they all share mixed Southeast Asian and African ancestry, all speak dialects of the Malagasy language and many share common costumes with regard to dress, religion, social organization and other matters. For those that see Madagascar as an ethnically heterogeneous country suggest that there are as many as 20 different ethnic groups that view themselves as distinct social groups. The five most populous groups are the following. The largest of these 20 groups are the Merina (about 27% of the population). While their original homeland is the central highlands, the spread of the Merina Kingdom from 1810 until 1895 has left Merina people employed in many occupations across Madagascar. The second largest group is the Betsimisaraka (about 15% of the population), which live on the east coast and are mainly farmers. The third largest group is the Betsileo (about 13% of the population), which live in the southern central highlands. The fourth largest group is the Sakalava (about 5%), which live in the west and herd cattle. Finally, the fifth largest group is the Tandroy (about 8%), which live in the south of the island. As we will see below, those groups by themselves do play a dominant political role. Rather a twofold socially constructed combination of groups (the highlanders and coastal people) is politically salient (1839; 1840, 121-129; 1841, 146-147; 1842) The political history of Madagascar since independence from France in 1960 can roughly be categorized in three periods. The first republic lasted from 1960 until 1975 and was coined by the presidency of Tsiranana, which kept close ties with the former colonial masters. Economic mismanagement led to an economic crisis, which forced Tsiranana to hand over his power to the military, which managed the transition to the second, socialistic oriented republic under president Ratsiraka, a former naval officer, from 1975 until 1992. Ratsiraka slowly transformed the country, which was until then institutionally based on a blueprint of the French republic, into a socialist republic with a centrally planned economy. However, he never established a strong single party regime as other African nations (e.g. Mozambique, Angola), but allowed the existence of multiple parties, as long as they

<sup>1839</sup> [Metz, 1994]

<sup>1840</sup> [Allen, 1995]

<sup>1841</sup> [Levinson, 1998]

<sup>1842</sup> [Allen, 2005]

officially accepted his 'red book', which comprised his socialist plan for Madagascar. After initial success the economy once again declined (especially after the USSR imploded and foreign aid stopped flowing) and led to strikes and civil unrest. This forced Ratsiraka to support a democratic transformation, complete with the formulation of a new constitution and the holding of free and multiparty elections. The first free and democratic elections symbolized the start of the third republic, which lasted from 1993 until today. Zafy replaced Ratsiraka in the 1992 presidential elections and was impeached 1996 for delaying legislative implementation, violating the separation of powers, interfering with government services and obstructing external relations. In the 1997 elections, however, Zafy was allowed to run again, since he was not found legally guilty of the charges. Ratsiraka, however, edged him out by 50.72% of the votes and became president of the country for the second time. The former mayor of the capital Ravalomanana finally replaced him in April 2002 after a period of strikes and accusation of fraud. In 2006 Ravalomanana won his reelection and remained president of Madagascar (<sup>1843</sup>, 29-75; <sup>1844</sup>, <sup>1845</sup>, 57-116; <sup>1846</sup>).

<sup>1843</sup> [Covell, 1987]

Feaon's list is taken as a starting point (2003). The list of the Soviet Atlas categorizes all indigenous groups as Malagasy, which results in 96% of the population being clustered in one group. The list of the Minorities of Risk project is also of no help, since it lists only one group: the Merina. My reasons for the changes are the following: As noted above, none of the ethnic groups are themselves politically relevant. There is, however, a socially constructed, ethnic divide in two larger macrogroups: highlanders (consisting primarily of Merina and Betsileo living in the islands highlands) and cotiers (people living along the east and west coast of the island). The politically relevant highland/cotier split is best understood as the historical outcome of the of the domination of the Merina empire from 1787 to 1896, where the Merina dominated the island and all other ethnic groups from Imerina, a city located near today's capital Antananarivo in the central highlands. Following Covell (<sup>1847</sup>), Metz (<sup>1848</sup>), Allen (<sup>1849</sup>) and Allen and Covell (<sup>1850</sup>) the indigenous groups in Fearon's list (2003) map as follows in the two macro-groups highlanders and cotiers. The Merina and Betsileo build the group highlander. Since the Merina people dominate the group the literature often refers to the highlanders simply as Merina. The Betsimisaraha, Antaisaka, Antandrov, Tsimitety, Sakalava and Mahafaly build the cotiers. The remaining groups (Bara, Sihanaka) are called mixed ethnicities, indicating that members of these groups belong to both macro-groups. Instead of adding half their population proportion to each of the two groups they have been dropped them from the list and therefore coded as irrelevant.

<sup>1847</sup> [Covell, 1987]

<sup>1848</sup> [Metz, 1994]

<sup>1849</sup> [Allen, 1995]

<sup>1850</sup> [Allen, 2005]

### *Power relations*

The role of ethnicity in Madagascar is difficult to answer. Following the two criteria in the coding instructions a qualified yes can be given to the question on the political role of ethnicity. From the literature surveyed there was no evidence that ethnicity is the basis for political discrimination (<sup>1851</sup>, <sup>1852</sup>, <sup>1853</sup>). The second criteria, i.e. if there is at least one interest group claiming to represent the interest of an ethnic group, however, can be answered with a yes for the first and second republic, but must be answered with no for the third (democratic) republic. During the first republic (1960-1975) Covell (<sup>1854</sup>, 29-50) argues that the two main political parties (PSD and AKFM) were divided along ethnic lines and represented the interests of either the cotiers or the Merinas (highlanders). In the second republic there is still considerable evidence provided by Marcus and Ratsimbaharison (<sup>1855</sup>) that the parties are based on some kind of ethnic clientelism. In the third republic, however, parties are no longer divided along ethnic lines, since candidates need a majority of votes to win office, which is impossible to achieve by relying only on one's own ethnic base. It is true that ethnic tensions have played a major role in each of the major political transitions (1972-1975, 1991-1992, 2001) (see <sup>1856</sup>, 10), however, none of the parties involved could be identified as representing a specific ethnic group. However, as the UN-Committee on elimination of racial discrimination notes the Malagasy government has taken measures against parties, which preached segregation or were based on a tribal or ethnic basis (<sup>1857</sup>). It appears therefore reasonable to code only the first republic (1960-1972), the military transitional period (1973-1975) and the second republic (1976-1992), since for in all later periods ethnicity cannot be regarded as politically relevant according to the coding rules.

*1960-1972:* The time period enfolds the first republic under president Tsiranana, lasting from Madagascar's independence in 1960 to the beginning of the military transition period to the second republic in 1972. Tsiranana's regime is a classical example of a neocolonial regime in which an elite, selected and prepared by the departing colonial power, moves into government positions, exchanging its protection of the interests of the former colonial power for that's protection of its own position. Tsiranana, a cotier, backed by his social democratic party (PSD) deeply rooted in the macro-ethnic group of the cotiers, took over power given to him by France and managed in negotiations to free Madagascar from France in 1960, without losing close ties to the former colonial masters. Until 1975 he and his cotier-dominated party remained in power (<sup>1858</sup>, 29-50, <sup>1859</sup>). This was possible because the Merina-dominated congress party for the independence of Madagascar (AKFM) lost its primary goal after the formal independence of Madagascar from France and continued to experience intraparty rifts between leftist and ultranationalist, more orthodox Marxist fractions. Thus, although the cotiers did

<sup>1851</sup> [Covell, 1987]

<sup>1852</sup> [Metz, 1994]

<sup>1853</sup> [Freedom House Country Reports, 2006-2009]

<sup>1854</sup> [Covell, 1987]

<sup>1855</sup> [Marcus and Ratsimbaharison, 2005]

<sup>1858</sup> [Covell, 1987]

<sup>1859</sup> [Metz, 1994]

not discriminate the highlanders (Merinas), they managed to keep the political power within their ranks, which is the reason they have been coded as having dominant power. The highlanders on the other hand were not discriminated, but did not manage to achieve a significant amount of state power, which is why they have been coded as powerless.

*1973-1975:* The time period matches the military transition period between the first and second republic. Ramanantsoa's (the provisional military leader announced by Tsiranana) institutional reforms aimed at decentralizing substantial administrative authority to local governments. At first, cotier groups accepted Ramanantsoa as a compromise a Merina leader but one who was answerable to a professional military and not to Tsiranana's centrally financed neopatrimonial system. Over time, however, these groups became more acutely aware of their alienation from the nexus of power. Most joined with resistance leaders strengthening the alliances between insurgents and the MFM, AKFM, and MONIMA parties. Ultimately, Ramanantsoa failed to stem the growing nationwide unrest and the intensification of ethnoregional conflicts, and was forced to hand over power to Colonel Richard Ratsimandrava. Ratsimandrava was more palatable than Ramanantsoa because he was a Merina but of a low caste origin. Yet his intended reforms were a direct threat to the Merina bourgeoisie and he was assassinated just five days after assuming power. He was succeeded by Lieutenant-Commander (later Admiral) Didier Ratsiraka. Though a cotier belonging to the Betsimisaraka ethnic group, Ratsiraka came to power with the support of a small but key group of Merina notables. The second republic began. Since both military leaders in power were Merina, but most of the bureaucratic routines were in the hands of cotiers the highlanders were coded as senior partner and the cotiers as junior partner.

*1976-1992:* The time period matches the second republic under president Ratsiraka. It seems to me that after the socialist revolution the ethnic patrimonialism seems to continue. This can best be seen in the composition of Ratsiraka's government. Ratsiraka relied heavily on core military, business, and family networks throughout the provinces for political survival, leading to a proliferation of Ratsiraka's close allies and family members in provincial leadership positions. His long time ally, Pascal Rakotomavo, became minister of finance, his brother, Etienne Ratsiraka was granted significant access and wealth in the private sector, as did another brother, Elyse Ratsiraka, a doctor in their native city of Toamasina. His daughter, Sophie, became a key player in mineral exploitation, paralleling the work of her own husband, Christian Ranaivo who headed Fiaro, one of the country's leading companies. To neutralize Merina opposition, Ratsiraka appointed his military colleagues, General Desire Rakotoarijaona and General Victor Ramahatra, both Merina, to the

position of prime minister (<sup>1860</sup>). Since Ratsiraka, himself a cotier, included some Merina at relevant posts in his government to neutralize the Merina the cotiers are coded as senior and the highlanders as junior partners for that period.

*2006-2009:* As already stated in the comments of former codings, ethnicity in Madagascar is a complicated issue. Instead of the division into many ethnic groups, socially the binary division into people from the highlands and from the coast is more important and accurate.

Former codings considered ethnicity as not relevant anymore since 1992. This coding is prone to criticism as a special provision of the 1992 constitution (<sup>1861</sup>) explicitly refers to ethnic issues. (The existence of this provision couldn't be verified. Elsewhere it is stated that the division of Presidency and post of prime minister between cotiers and Merinas is based on a non-written consensus (<sup>1862</sup>.) This provision requires that if a cotier is elected president then the prime minister must be a Merina/highlander, and vice versa. Ethnicity is an issue, even if Madagascar became a democracy (see <sup>1863</sup>, 6). In the February 1993 runoff elections, Albert Zafy, a cotier, was elected president and replaced Ratsiraka. Zafy was supported by the Merina who expected him to appoint their favorite candidate as prime minister. Yet Zafy appointed a half-Merina not supported by the Merina leaders (<sup>1864</sup>). cotiers, therefore could be coded as senior partner in government, while Merina as junior partner.

Ratsiraka, a cotier explicitly playing the ethnic card, defeated Zafy in the December 1996 runoff vote and returned to the presidency with a narrow majority (50.7%) of the popular vote. Ethnic relevance in politics did not change due to this change within presidency as Ratsiraka was also a cotier, yet including highlanders into the government. The political picture changed in 2002 when Marc Ravalomanana won elections against Ratsiraka. Although a Merina and therefore "on the wrong side of the country's single largest divide in political culture (<sup>1865</sup>, 6; also <sup>1866</sup>, 504). His ethnicity was eclipsed by his sense of nationalism and his call for a united Malagasy people. Ravalomanana won the elections because of his ability to overcome the cotier/highlander distinction despite of Ratsiraka's attempts to ethnicize the elections (see the special issue of *Politique Africaine* No. 86, 2002 on the elections of 2001; <sup>1867</sup>, 505). Ravalomanana appointed Jacques Sylla, a cotier, as prime minister, thereby still considering existing ethnic sensitivities, yet it appears reasonable to code ethnicity irrelevant from 2002 on (<sup>1868</sup>; <sup>1869</sup>; <sup>1870</sup>; <sup>1871</sup>). This pattern was reaffirmed in the 2006 elections, won again by Ravalomanana who then appointed a Merina as prime minister. Opposition against Ravalomanana grew – however not because of his ethnic belonging or nepotism but mainly because of his political failures. Therefore, also his successor, Andry Rajoelina, who followed Ravalomanana in 2009 after having him ousted, is a Merina with strong support among the cotiers.

<sup>1860</sup> [Marcus and Ratsimbaharison, 2005]

<sup>1861</sup> [Polity IV, 2009]

<sup>1862</sup> [Mongabay, 1994]

<sup>1863</sup> [Marcus, 2004]

<sup>1864</sup> [Minorities at Risk Project, 2003]

<sup>1865</sup> [Marcus, 2004]

<sup>1866</sup> [Marcus and Ratsimbaharison, 2005]

<sup>1867</sup> [Marcus and Ratsimbaharison, 2005]

<sup>1868</sup> [Polity IV, 2009]

<sup>1869</sup> [Raison-Jourde and Raison-Jourde, 2002]

2010-2013: "Ethnic equity has long been enshrined in the Malagasy constitution. In practice, equality is more problematic" (1872).

<sup>1872</sup> [Bertelsmann, 2007-2014]

The nominally 18 "tribes" existing in Madagascar are commonly known as being socially constructed throughout French colonial power. Today, a strong and unifying national identity as being "Malagasy" can be identified (ibid). Even though most people embrace in this state identity, significant regional cleavages on political, economic, resource and identity lines are apparent (ibid). A conflict among Malagasy groups sharing a common Malagasy identity has been the divide between the Merina in the capital region and the cotiers in the coastal regions (ibid). The often not recognizable conflicts occurring on political network lines involve ethnogeographic divides. A formal mechanism for ethnogeographic cohabitation is yet non-existent (ibid).

Merina: The Merina and the cotier dominate Madagascar's political space. The above described historically assigned privileges to the Merina-group resulted in notable power in political and economic decision-making as well as in advantages in access to education and to the market (ibid). Within the distinct Merina group, intra-Merina struggles take place reflecting caste and family differences. A prominent example of this the recent struggle between Presidents Ravalomanana and Rajoelina who are both Merina, but from two different "houses".

Due to this particular standing of the Merina in terms of inheriting critical roles in government, businesses and education rather than farming, a division to other ethnic groups engaging in this kind of works was fostered and is contemporarily reinforced.

(Migrants): For instance, Forst identifies a trend of distinction between the Malagasy people and immigrant groups with another race or religion such as Arabs, white-skinned and Indian people as well as Hindus and Muslims (1873). This trend, namely racial violence towards Indians, has been worryingly captured by the UN report on the elimination of racial discrimination while the CIA Factbook names this particular ethnic group as fourth largest in Madagascar (1874, 1875).

<sup>1873</sup> [Forst, 2007a]

<sup>1874</sup> [United Nations, 2004]

<sup>1875</sup> [CIA Factbook, 2007]

Religion has fueled political difference and ethnographic divides (between the capital region, Antananarivo; the east coast surrounds of Tamatave; and the southern regions of the former Tulear province) are mirrored in Madagascar's political parties landscape. Nevertheless, religious dogma has not significantly permeated politics and no ethnicity is systematically excluded from educational access, employment, legal rights or political participation

2013 elections: Ravalomanana's presidency marked a period of ethnic fluidity and growing tolerance.

Since his overthrow and the subsequent installation of Andry Rajoelina as President of the High Authority of the Transition in 2009, Madagascar has been in political crisis. Looking towards the 2013 elections, ethnic difference is unlikely to play a role, except in the case of anti-Merina sentiment stemming from a popular view

that the country fell down a rabbit hole due to intra-Merina conflict (1876).

<sup>1876</sup> [Bertelsmann, 2007-2014]



## *Bibliography*

- [Allen, 1995] Allen, P. M. (1995). *Madagascar: Conflicts of Authority in the Great Island*. Boulder: Westview Press.
- [Allen, 2005] Allen, P. M. and Covell, Maureen. (2005). *Historical Dictionary of Madagascar*. Second Edition.
- [Bertelsmann, 2007-2014] Bertelsmann Index. (2007-2014). *Madagascar Country Report*. Gettersloh, Germany: Bertelsmann Stiftung.
- [CIA Factbook, 2007] CIA Factbook. (2007). Madagascar. Retrieved on 27.05.2014 from: <https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/geos/ma.html>.
- [Cole, 2006] Cole, J. (2006). Malagasy and Western Conceptions of Memory: Implications for Postcolonial Politics and the Study of Memory. *Ethos*, 34(2), 211-243.
- [Covell, 1987] Covell, Maureen. (1987). *Madagascar: Politics, Economics and Society*. London: Frances Pinter.
- [Forst, 2007a] Forst, R. (2007a). Are Malagasys racist? Retrieved on the 27.05.2014 from: <http://vazahagasy.wordpress.com/2007/09/04/are-malagasys-racist/#comment-904>
- [Forst, 2007b] Forst, R. (2007b). Racial and ethnic groups in Northern Madagascar. Retrieved on the 27.05.2014 from: <http://vazahagasy.wordpress.com/2007/11/21/racial-and-ethnic-groups-in-northern-madagascar/>
- [Freedom House Country Reports, 2006-2009] Freedom House Country Reports. (2006-2006). *Country Reports Madagascar*. Retrieved on 03.05.2010 from: <http://www.freedomhouse.org/report/freedom-world/2010>
- [Levinson, 1998] Levinson, D. (1998). *Ethnic Groups Worldwide: A Ready Reference Handbook*. Phoenix: Oryx Press.
- [Marcus, 2004] Marcus, R. R. (2004). *Political Change in Madagascar: Populist Democracy or Neopartimomialism by Another Name?* ISS Working Paper 89. Johannesburg: Institute for Security Studies.

- [Marcus and Ratsimbaharison, 2005] Marcus, R. R. and Ratsimbaharison, A. M. (2005). Political Parties in Madagascar: Neopatrimonial Tools or Democratic Instruments? *Party Politics*, 11(4), 495-512.
- [Maureen, 1987] Maureen. (1987). *Madagascar: Politics, Economics and Society*. London, New York: Frances Pinter.
- [Metz, 1994] Metz, H. C. (1994). *Madagascar: A Country Study*. Retrieved on the 16.07.2007 from: <http://www.countrystudies.us/madagascar/>
- [Minorities at Risk Project, 2003] Minorities at Risk Project (2003). *Assessment for Merina in Madagascar*. Retrieved on 09.06.2010 from: <http://www.unhcr.org/refworld/docid/469f3aae.html>
- [Mongabay, 1994] Mongabay. (1994). *Madagascar-Constitution and Institutions of Governance*. Retrieved on the 19.05.2010 from: [http://www.mongabay.com/history/madagascar/madagascar-constitution\\_and\\_institutions\\_of\\_governance\\_government\\_and\\_politics.html](http://www.mongabay.com/history/madagascar/madagascar-constitution_and_institutions_of_governance_government_and_politics.html)
- [Polity IV, 2009] Polity IV. (2009). *Polity IV Country Report 2008: Madagascar*. Retrieved on the 04.05.2010 from: [www.systemicpeace.org/polity/Madagascar2008.pdf](http://www.systemicpeace.org/polity/Madagascar2008.pdf)
- [Solofo, 2002] Solofo, R. (2002). *Hont au chien qui n'aboie pas*. FAST Country Risk Profile. Bern: Swisspeace-Institut.
- [Rafidinarivo, 2002] Rafidinarivo Rakotolahy, C. (2002). *Strategies territoriales de la crise malgache*. Theme issue "Les urnes et la rue". *Politique Africaine*, 86, 138-151.
- [Raison-Jourde and Raison-Jourde, 2002] Raison-Jourde, F. & Raison, J.-P. (2002). *Introduction au theme*. Ravalomanana et la troisieme independance? Theme issue "Les urnes et la rue". *Politique Africaine*, 86, 5-17.
- [Razafindrakoto and Roubaud, 2002] Razafindrakoto, M. & Roubaud, F. (2002). *Le scrutin presidentiel du 16 decembre 2001*. Les enjeux d'une election contestee. Theme issue "Les urnes et la rue". *Politique Africaine*, 86, 18-44.
- [Stifel and Barrett, 2007] Stifel, D., Forster, F. and Barrett, C. B. (2007). *The Evolution of Groupwise Poverty in Madagascar, 1999-2005*. *Journal of African Economies*.
- [United Nations, 2004] United Nations. (2004). *Committee on Elimination of Racial Discrimination Considers Report of Madagascar: Press Release*. Retrieved on 16.07.2007 from: <http://www.unhcr.ch/hurricane/hurricane.nsf/view01/33FCDC5FCB3BB18FC1256EE6004D6725?>
- [United States Government State Department, 2009-2010] U.S. Department of State. (2009-2010). *Country Reports Madagascar*. Retrieved on 01.05.2010 from: <http://www.state.gov/j/drl/rls/hrrpt/humanrightsreport/>

## *Political status of ethnic groups in Madagascar*

*From 1960 until 1972*

Group name	Proportional size	Political status
Côtiers	0.479	DOMINANT
Highlanders	0.395	POWERLESS

*From 1973 until 1975*

Group name	Proportional size	Political status
Côtiers	0.479	JUNIOR PARTNER
Highlanders	0.395	SENIOR PARTNER

*From 1976 until 2001*

Group name	Proportional size	Political status
Côtiers	0.479	SENIOR PARTNER
Highlanders	0.395	JUNIOR PARTNER

*From 2002 until 2013*

Group name	Proportional size	Political status
Côtiers	0.479	IRRELEVANT
Highlanders	0.395	IRRELEVANT




Figure 458: Political status of ethnic groups in Madagascar during 1960-1972.



Figure 459: Political status of ethnic groups in Madagascar during 1973-1975.



Figure 460: Political status of ethnic groups in Madagascar during 1976-2001.



## *Geographical coverage of ethnic groups in Madagascar*

*From 1960 until 2009*




Figure 462: Map of ethnic groups in Madagascar during 2002-2013.

Group name	Area in km <sup>2</sup>	Type
■ Côtiers	425 232	Regional & urban
■ Highlanders	129 870	Regional & urban

Table 139: List of ethnic groups in Madagascar during 1960-2009.

## *Conflicts in Madagascar*

*Starting on 1947-03-29*

Side A	Side B	Group name	Start	Claim	Recruitment	Support
Government of France	MDRM		1947-03-29			

*Starting on 1971-04-30*

Side A	Side B	Group name	Start	Claim	Recruitment	Support
Government of Madagascar (Malagasy)	Monima	Côtiers	1971-04-30	No	Yes, from EGIP	No