

Mali

Ethnicity in Mali

Group selection

All sources available agree in their notion that the ethnic divisions existing in Mali are not politically relevant for the most part, i.e. there are no significant ethno-political cleavages in Malian politics (²⁶³⁴, ²⁶³⁵, ²⁶³⁶, ²⁶³⁷). The only exception has been the conflict between the Malian state and the nomadic Tuareg and Arab and Moor minorities in the north (escalating into civil war in the early 1990s). Hence, EPR codes only three ethnic/racial groups as politically relevant: the **Black majority (consisting of Mande, Peul, Voltaic, Songhai etc.)** and the “white” **Tuareg and Arabs/Moors** (²⁶³⁸). The size of the black population is taken from the CIA World Factbook (consistent with other sources): 90%. For the Tuareg from Notholt (²⁶³⁹): 7%. For Arabs/Moors: 10% minus 7% = 3% - which is more or less in line with absolute figures provided by the Joshua Project (²⁶⁴⁰).

²⁶³⁴ [Baldwin, 2005]

²⁶³⁵ [Humphreys Mohamed, 2005]

²⁶³⁶ [Smith, 2001]

²⁶³⁷ [Villalón Idrissa, 2005]

²⁶³⁸ [Humphreys Mohamed, 2005]

Power relations

1960-1990: Military and one-party rule

Besides the evident economic marginalization of the northern part of Mali – where the Tuareg and Arabs/Moors live –, these groups were also politically marginalized. From independence up to 1990, only 4 “white” ministers were appointed, 2 Tuareg officers in the army and no “white” heads of departments. The northern region, furthermore, for a long time was under military rule with (if from the region at all) black governors appointed by the central state (²⁶⁴¹).

²⁶⁴¹ [Humphreys Mohamed, 2005]

Discrimination, however, seems to have taken place more in the economic (e.g. issues of property rights) and cultural realms than in politics - as there have been at least some “white” ministers in Mali’s governments during this period (²⁶⁴²). It seems more appropriate, thus, to speak of political powerlessness than targeted discrimination. Therefore: Both Tuareg and Arabs/Moors are coded as “powerless”.

²⁶⁴² [Humphreys Mohamed, 2005]

The black majority enjoyed a clear monopoly of power. The group is thus coded as having a “monopoly” status.

1992-1999

In 1990, the growing economic marginalization and the call for regional autonomy led to a revolt by the Tuareg causing a coup d'état against the long-standing president Moussa Traoré in March 1991. A transitional government, led by Amadou Toure, assigned two ministries to “white” rebels. Peace accords were signed in 1991 and 1992, which promised the participation of the rebelling Tuareg and Arab/Moor groups in the civil service and the army (²⁶⁴³). From 1992 on, the Tuareg and the Arabs/Moors are therefore coded “Junior Partner” in order to account for their inclusion in the government, while the Blacks are coded “Senior Partner”.

²⁶⁴³ [Humphreys Mohamed, 2005]

Apart from including all relevant ethnic groups in national politics as a method to pacify the conflicts, the peace contracts of 1991 granted partial autonomy to the northern regions populated by the Tuareg. This decentralization was seen as a means to appease these revolting groups as well. However, the autonomy only became effective in 1999 when, for the first time, local elections were held in the northern regions (^{2644,2645}). Therefore, regional autonomy for the Tuareg is only coded from 2000 on (according to the January 1st rule), and not yet during this period.

²⁶⁴⁴ [Keita, 1998]

²⁶⁴⁵ [Seely, 2001]

Even though these attempts were made to pacify the situation through including all parties in the government, interethnic violence escalated in 1994. In 1994, but already in the previous years, many northern civilians got killed in the crossfire. These extrajudicial killings were caused by all actors involved in the conflict, rather than by the Malian army only (^{2646,2647}). The killing of civilians is therefore not interpreted as violence by the state, specifically targeted at members of the Tuareg or Arab ethnic group, which could result in a coding of the Tuareg people as being “discriminated”. Rather are these civilian fatalities seen as collateral damage (^{2648,2649}). As mentioned above, all ethnic groups had generally been included into the government despite these developments, and the state had made efforts to calm down the rebels and pacify the situation through peace contracts. Concessions were given to the Tuareg in order to avoid a secession of the northern region. Apart from that, it should be noted that the Tuareg were strongly fragmented, with only a small part of the ethnic group being supportive of the rebel groups (^{2650,2651}). The peaceful parts of these groups were included in the political system during these years. With the end of the civil war in 1995, the inclusion of Tuareg and Arabs/Moors in all institutions of the state was finalized and consolidated, apart from them benefitting from developmental investments (^{2652,2653, 263-4, 2654, 2655, 164}).

²⁶⁴⁶ [US State Department, 2006–2017]

²⁶⁴⁷ [Croicu, 2012]

²⁶⁴⁸ [US State Department, 2006–2017]

²⁶⁴⁹ [Croicu, 2012]

²⁶⁵⁰ [Keita, 1998]

Based on all these considerations, it is decided to uphold the coding of the political status of the groups as it was before the peak of (one-sided) violence in 1994, with the Blacks being “Senior Partner” and the Tuareg and the Arabs/Moors being “Junior Partner”. After 1999, the regional autonomy of the Tuareg became effective, which requires the coding of a new period.

2000-2012

From 2000 on, as mentioned above, the decentralization with regional autonomy for the Tuareg is to a great extent implemented and the Tuareg's regional autonomy is, consequently, coded "Yes" (^{2656,2657}).

The view that ethnic divisions within Mali's black population are not politically relevant can be upheld for a large part of this period. Different sources agree in that ethnicity is not of significant political relevance, ethnic cleavages are rare, that - especially in comparison with other African countries - Mali has been relatively successful in achieving ethnic harmony and creating a national identity bridging ethnic boundaries (due on the one hand to a great political will to do so, and on the other to the legacy of the vast ancient Malian empires), and that several different groups have been integrated peacefully into this "national identity" - with the notable exception of the nomadic groups of the north (the Tuareg, and Arabs/Moors) (^{2658, 256, 268; 2659,2660}). Survey data show that Malian parties are generally ethnically representative, and ethnicity is not a crucial factor in citizens' voting choices (²⁶⁶¹). None of Mali's political parties is an ethnic party, and the party system as a whole is not ethnicized either (²⁶⁶²).

A new Tuareg rebellion erupted in 2006/2007. With reference to the not fully implemented 1991/1992 peace agreement, the rebels demanded the withdrawal of the military from the northern Tuareg zones, a higher degree of administrative autonomy, and increased economic support (²⁶⁶³). However, according to the Bertelsmann Country Report of 2010, this rebellion - in contrast to the previous ones - was guided more by economic and criminal motives than political ones, and it was essentially a "socioeconomically motivated conflict". It is true that the promised economic development in the north had not really taken place (^{2664,2665}). In the political realm, however, the northern Tuareg and Arab/Moor groups seem to have been included since the end of the civil war in the 1990s - also during the years from 2006 to 2009. According to Kétouré (^{2666, 263-4}), the Tuareg were represented in all institutions (also the government) of the state during these years, they participated in the national unity government of 2002 and, thus, were incorporated in the decision-making processes. Tuareg Ahmed Mohamed Ag Hamami was named prime minister by president Touré in 2002, for example. The Tuareg were also included in Mali's major political parties which had Tuareg candidates to maximize their election chances in the north. Also according to the U.S. State Department's Human Rights Reports from 2006 to 2009 (²⁶⁶⁷), there were always members of the "historically marginalized nomadic groups of the north" in the cabinet. And neither in the Freedom House Country Reports from 2007 to 2010 (²⁶⁶⁸) nor in the Bertelsmann Country Reports of 2008, 2010, and 2012 (²⁶⁶⁹) can any evidence of political exclusion of the Tuareg or the Arabs/Moors be found. Rather, it

²⁶⁵⁶ [Keita, 1998]²⁶⁵⁷ [Seely, 2001]²⁶⁵⁸ [Kétouré, 2009]²⁶⁵⁹ [Bertelsmann, 2008–2012]²⁶⁶⁰ [Notholt, 2008]²⁶⁶¹ [Cheeseman Ford, 2007]²⁶⁶² [Basedau Stroh, 2009]²⁶⁶³ [Bertelsmann, 2008–2012]²⁶⁶⁴ [Bertelsmann, 2008–2012]²⁶⁶⁵ [IRIN News, 2010]²⁶⁶⁶ [Kétouré, 2009]²⁶⁶⁷ [US State Department, 2006–2017]

seems that there are splits within the Tuareg community between forces pro and contra president Touré. No acts of vengeance toward Tuareg people in the south were reported after the renewed rebellion, and the Malian government has abstained from generalized discrimination against Tuareg citizens in the north (²⁶⁷⁰). Overall, thus, the “white” Tuareg and Arabs/Moors groups can still be seen as “Junior Partners” in a power-sharing arrangement during this period, despite the rebellion. Consequently, the power statuses of all relevant groups are maintained as in the previous time period.

2013-2017

In 2012, the situation in Mali changed rapidly. An increased intensity of fighting between the Malian Army and MNLA (Azawad People’s Movement) rebels in the north triggered a military coup d’état on 21 March 2012. President Toure resigned shortly after, together with the the coup leader, Cpt. Sanogo. The weakening of the state allowed MNLA rebels to overrun the northern third of Mali’s territory and to declare the independence of the state of Azawad on 6 April 2012. However, power in the north was overtaken violently by the groups Ansar Dine and MUJAO (a splitter group of AQMI), causing the MNLA to cooperate with government and French forces during the Operation Serval that started on 11 January 2013. By June, a peace agreement between the MNLA and the Malian government was signed, but renounced unilaterally by the MNLA in September 2013. Acts of indiscriminate violence from the MNLA, Ansar Dine, MUJAO, and the Malian Army are reported to have occurred against fighters and civilians. Amnesty International reports that soldiers of the Malian Army extrajudicially killed two Tuaregs, 16 Mauretanians and another man, all accused to have had links with the MNLA. Moreover, in February 2012, a government air attack had led to the death of one civilian and the injury of at least 12 civilians (^{2671,2672}).

A transitional government was formed under president Dioncounda Traoré in April 2012 and prime minister Cheick Modibo Diarra (appointed in August 2012). It consisted of members of almost all ethnic and social fractions, members of ethnic groups from the north (including Tuaregs and Arab/Moors), and 3 members appointed by the ex-junta (²⁶⁷³). Prime minister Diarra was arrested by soldiers and forced to resign on 11 December 2012. He was succeeded by Diango Sissoko. The new transitional government again contained members of most social and ethnic groups (again, including Tuaregs and Arabs/Moors), 3 ministers appointed by the ex-junta and members of ethnic groups from the north (²⁶⁷⁴).

Seen from a national perspective, Arabs/Moors and Tuareg should therefore still be coded as being “Junior Partner” in the government on 1 January 2013. It can be argued that they represent the interests of their ethnic groups rather than the armed groups which had seized power of the northern regions by 1 January 2013. The latter

²⁶⁷¹ [Amnesty International, 2013]

²⁶⁷² [US State Department, 2006–2017]

²⁶⁷³ [Jeune Afrique, 2012]

²⁶⁷⁴ [Jeune Afrique, 2012b]

are reported to have committed a large number of civil rights abuses against the population (²⁶⁷⁵). Although the human rights abuses conducted by members of the Malian army point towards discriminatory practices against Tuaregs and other minorities in the north, no sign was found for such practices being used permanently and against an entire ethnic group. Accordingly, under its chapter “Discrimination of Ethnic Minorities”, the US State Department Human Rights Report 2013 (²⁶⁷⁶) does only mention social discrimination of the Tuaregs, but not political discrimination. In line with the considerations in 1994-1995, again, the northern groups are not considered discriminated.

²⁶⁷⁵ [US State Department, 2006–2017]

²⁶⁷⁶ [US State Department, 2006–2017]

With regard to regional autonomy, it is concluded that the Tuaregs had lost their status by 1 January 2013. Regarding the extremist rule and associated human rights abuses, it is difficult to speak of a “meaningful and active regional executive organ” which is influenced by Tuaregs acting in the interest of their ethnic group. Regional autonomy of the Tuareg is therefore coded as absent for the year 2013.

(²⁶⁷⁷) reports approx. 132.000 refugees from Mali at the beginning of 2013. Since the calming down of the conflict in August 2013, this number has not declined. However, data on the ethnic composition of the refugees is not (yet) available. As the North of Mali is populated by many different ethnic groups (Tuareg, Peulh, Songrai, Bozo, etc.), it cannot be assumed that all refugees are Tuaregs. Therefore, no reliable correction of the relative size of the Tuareg population can be made here.

²⁶⁷⁷ [UNHCR, 2014]

On September 5, 2013, a new prime minister, Oumar Tatam Ly, was appointed by the president. His first post-conflict cabinet consisted of members of all ethnic groups, including former Arab rebel Zahaby Ould Sidy Mohamed as well as two members of the junta that had carried out the coup a year before. Furthermore, a new ministry was built, which is responsible for the Reconciliation of the North (^{2678;2679;2680}).

²⁶⁷⁸ [France24, 2013]

²⁶⁷⁹ [US State Department, 2006–2017]

²⁶⁸⁰ [VOA News, 2013]

During 2014 and 2016, about 15 members of the National Assembly were representatives of ethnic minorities. Parts of them were detected being members of northern armed separatist groups (HCUA, GATIA, MAA). Nevertheless, the cabinet, although being reshuffled in April 2014 and in January 2015, continued to include pastoral and nomadic ethnic minority members, according to the US State Department Reports 2014-2017 (²⁶⁸¹). Furthermore, the Freedom House Human Rights Reports (2014-2016) note that no ethnic groups dominated the government or security forces during these years. This leads to the decision to extend the coding of the political statuses up to 2017, even though Mali remains in a situation of insecurity, which sets limits to the unfolding of political rights (²⁶⁸²). The Blacks are therefore coded “Senior Partner”, while the Tuareg and the Arabs/Moors are coded “Junior Partner”.

²⁶⁸¹ [US State Department, 2006–2017]

²⁶⁸² [Freedom House, 2007–2016]

In June 2015, a peace agreement was signed between the northern rebel groups and the government. In this context, the government of-

ferred giving partial autonomy back to Azawad. However, this consideration mostly happened due to international pressure, and does not seem to have been realised in practice until today (²⁶⁸³). Fighting persisted through 2016, and the Tuareg refrained from implementing the peace contract. They complain that nothing is done to address the root causes of the conflict, such as economic underdevelopment (²⁶⁸⁴). As a consequence of this insecure political circumstances, local elections held by the government in November 2016 were cancelled in 58 northern and central communes, most of them in the region of Timbuktu. Opposing actors and militants called for these polls to be delayed, referring to the claims that preparation had been inadequate and that the risk of electoral fraud was too high. The vote was generally considered credible in regions where it was held, despite some reports of violence and intimidation (²⁶⁸⁵; ²⁶⁸⁶). However, as no votes were held in most of the northern areas, it cannot be assumed that regional autonomy was effectively given back to the Tuareg. Therefore, regional autonomy is continued to be coded as absent until 2017.

²⁶⁸³ [BBC, 2015]

²⁶⁸⁴ [Reuters, 2016]

²⁶⁸⁵ [Freedom House, 2007–2016]

²⁶⁸⁶ [SIPRI, 2016]

Bibliography

- [Amnesty International, 2012] Amnesty International. (2012). Mali: Five Months of Crisis. Retrieved on 6.3.2014 from: <http://www.amnesty.org/en/library/asset/AFR37/001/2012/en/f93ab197-dd94-45b5-8e42-a3375c7747c4/afr370012012en.pdf>
- [Amnesty International, 2013] Amnesty International. (2013). Annual Report. Mali. Retrieved on 6.3.2014 from: <http://www.amnesty.org/en/region/mali/report-2013>
- [Baldwin, 2005] Baldwin, Kate. (2005). Who Gets the Jobs? Dynamics of Opposition and Redistribution in Mali. Columbia University.
- [Basedau Stroh, 2009] Basedau, Matthias, and Alexander Stroh (2009). Ethnicity and Party Systems in Francophone Sub-Saharan Africa. GIGA Working Papers.
- [BBC, 2015] BBC (2015). Mali's Touareg rebels sign peace deal. Retrieved on 30.10.2017 from: <http://www.bbc.com/news/world-africa-33213931>
- [Bertelsmann, 2008–2012] Bertelsmann Stiftung (2008–2012). Bertelsmann Transformation Index Report: Mali. Retrieved on 6.3.2014 from: <http://www.bti-project.org/reports/country-reports/wca/mli>
- [Cheeseman Ford, 2007] Cheeseman, Nicholas, and Robert Ford (2007). Ethnicity As A Political Cleavage. Afrobarometer Working Paper, (accessed
- [Croicu, 2012] Croicu, Mihai, Sundberg, Ralph. (2012). UCDP GED Conflict Polygons Dataset”, Department of Peace and Conflict Research, Uppsala University.
- [France24, 2013] France24 (2013). Mali announces first post-war cabinet. Retrieved on 27.10.2017 from: <http://www.france24.com/en/20130909-mali-war-new-cabinet-government>
- [Freedom House, 2007–2016] Freedom House. (2007–2016). Freedom of the World: Mali. Retrieved on 1.3.2018 <https://freedomhouse.org/report/freedom-world/2016/mali>

- [Humphreys Mohamed, 2005] Humphreys, Macartan, and Mohamed, Habaye (2005). Senegal and Mali. In: Collier, P. and Nicholas Sambanis (eds.), *Understanding Civil War, Evidence and Analysis*. Washington D.C.: World Bank Publications, p. 247-302.
- [IRIN News, 2010] IRIN News (2010). Mali-Niger: Insecurity persists despite militia leader's arrest. Retrieved on 6.3.2014 from: <http://www.irinnews.org/Report.aspx?ReportId=80660>
- [Jeune Afrique, 2012] Jeune Afrique (2012). Mali : Cheick Modibo Diarra reconduit au poste de Premier ministre de transition. Retrieved on 6.3.2014 from: <http://www.jeuneafrique.com/Article/ARTJAWEB20120813085532/-Mali-C%C3%A9d%C3%A9-Bamako-Dioncounda-Traor%C3%A9-mali-cheick-modibo-diarra-reconduit-au-poste-de-premier-ministre-de-transition.html>
- [Keita, 1998] Keita, Kalifa (1998). Conflict and conflict resolution in the Sahel: The Tuareg insurgency in Mali, *Small Wars & Insurgencies* 9(3): 102-128.
- [Kétouré, 2009] Kétouré, Philippe S. 2009. *Demokratisierung und Ethnizität: ein Widerspruch?: gewaltsame Konflikte und ihre friedliche Regelung in politischen Wandlungsprozessen: Beispiele Cote d'Ivoire und Mali*. Hamburg: Kovac.
- [Jeune Afrique, 2012b] Jeune Afrique (2012b). Mali: le nouveau Premier ministre Cissoko a formé son gouvernement. Retrieved on 6.3.2014 from: <http://www.jeuneafrique.com/Article/DEPAFP20121216102319/-Cheick-Modibo-Diarra-Nord-Mali-gouvernement-malien-Diango-Cissoko-mali-le-nouveau-premier-ministre.html>
- [Joshua Project] Joshua Project. (2014). Country: Mali. Retrieved on 6.3.2014 from: <http://joshuaproject.net/countries/ML>
- [Notholt, 2008] Notholt, Stuart (2008). *Fields of Fire - An Atlas of Ethnic Conflict*. London, UK: Stuart Notholt Communications Ltd.
- [Reuters, 2016] Reuters. (2016). Mali's Tuareg rebels withdraw from committee implementing peace deal. Retrieved on 7.11.2017 from: <http://www.reuters.com/article/us-mali-security/malis-tuareg-rebels-withdraw-from-committee-implementing-peace-deal-idUSKBN14924J>
- [Seely, 2001] Seely, Jennifer C. (2001). A political analysis of decentralisation: coopting the Tuareg threat in Mali. *Journal of Modern African Studies* 39(3): 499-524.
- [SIPRI, 2016] sipri - Stockholm International Peace Research Institute. (2016). Lessons from Mali's local elections. Retrieved on 30.10.2017 from: <https://www.sipri.org/publications/2016/1609>

sipri.org/commentary/topical-backgroundunder/2016/lessons-malis-local-elections

[Smith, 2001] Smith, Zeric K. (2001).. Mali's Decade of Democracy. *Journal of Democracy*, 12 (3),):73-79.

[UNHCR, 2014] UNHCR (2014). Mali Situation. Retrieved on 6.3.2014 from: <http://data.unhcr.org/SahelSituation/country.php?id=501>

[US State Department, 2006–2017] US State Department. (2006–2017). Country Report: Mali. Retrieved on 1.3.2018 from: <http://www.state.gov/j/drl/rls/hrrpt/2012humanrightsreport/index.htm>

[Villalón Idrissa, 2005] Villalón, Leonardo A., and Abdourahmane Idrissa (2005). The Tribulations of a Successful Transition: Institutional Dynamics and Elite Rivalry in Mali. In: Villalón, L.A. and von Doepp, P. (eds.), *The Fate of Africa's Democratic Experiments: Elites and Institutions*. Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press, p. 49-74.

[VOA News, 2013] VOA News (2013). Mali's New PM Unveils 34-Member Cabinet. Retrieved on 30.10.2017 from: <https://www.voanews.com/a/malis-new-pm-unveils-34member-cabinet/1745724.html>

[Wing, 2008] Wing, Susanna D. (2008). *Constructing Democracy in Transitioning Societies of Africa: Constitutionalism and Deliberation in Mali*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Political status of ethnic groups in Mali

From 1960 until 1991

Group name	Proportional size	Political status
Blacks (Mande, Peul, Voltaic etc.)	0.9	MONOPOLY
Tuareg	0.07	POWERLESS
Arabs/Moors	0.03	POWERLESS

From 1992 until 1999

Group name	Proportional size	Political status
Blacks (Mande, Peul, Voltaic etc.)	0.9	SENIOR PARTNER
Tuareg	0.07	JUNIOR PARTNER
Arabs/Moors	0.03	JUNIOR PARTNER

From 2000 until 2012

Group name	Proportional size	Political status
Blacks (Mande, Peul, Voltaic etc.)	0.9	SENIOR PARTNER
Tuareg	0.07	JUNIOR PARTNER
Arabs/Moors	0.03	JUNIOR PARTNER

From 2013 until 2017

Group name	Proportional size	Political status
Blacks (Mande, Peul, Voltaic etc.)	0.9	SENIOR PARTNER
Tuareg	0.07	JUNIOR PARTNER
Arabs/Moors	0.03	JUNIOR PARTNER



Figure 555: Political status of ethnic groups in Mali during 1960-1991.



Figure 556: Political status of ethnic groups in Mali during 1992-1999.




Figure 557: Political status of ethnic groups in Mali during 2000-2012.



Geographical coverage of ethnic groups in Mali

From 1960 until 1960




Figure 559: Map of ethnic groups in Mali during 1960-1960.

Group name	Area in km ²	Type
■ Blacks (Mande, Peul, Voltaic etc.)	470 251	Regionally based
■ Arabs/Moors	300 776	Regionally based
■ Tuareg	260 971	Regionally based

Table 194: List of ethnic groups in Mali during 1960-1960.

From 1961 until 2017




Figure 560: Map of ethnic groups in Mali during 1961-2017.

Group name	Area in km ²	Type
Blacks (Mande, Peul, Voltaic etc.)	470 248	Regionally based
Arabs/Moors	300 776	Regionally based
Tuareg	260 971	Regionally based

Table 195: List of ethnic groups in Mali during 1961-2017.

Conflicts in Mali

Starting on 1985-12-24

Side A	Side B	Group name	Start	Claim	Recruitment	Support
Government of Burkina Faso	Government of Mali		1985-12-24			

Starting on 1990-06-27

Side A	Side B	Group name	Start	Claim	Recruitment	Support
Government of Mali	MPA	Tuareg	1990-06-27	Explicit	Yes	
Government of Mali	FIAA	Arabs/Moors	1991-12-11	Explicit	Yes	
Government of Mali	ATNMC	Tuareg	2007-05-10	Explicit	Yes	No
Government of Mali	CMA	Tuareg	2012-01-16	Explicit	Yes	Yes

Starting on 2009-06-13

Side A	Side B	Group name	Start	Claim	Recruitment	Support
Government of Mali	AQIM		2009-06-13			
Government of Mali	Ansar Dine	Tuareg	2012-01-19	No	Yes	
Government of Mali	Military faction (Red Berets)		2012-04-30			
Government of Mali	MUJAO		2013-01-11			
Government of Mali	Signed-in-Blood Battalion	Tuareg	2013-02-18	No	Yes	Split
Government of Mali	al-Murabitun		2013-10-06			

Starting on 2015-01-04

Side A	Side B	Group name	Start	Claim	Recruitment	Support
Government of Mali	FLM	Blacks (Mande, Peul, Voltaic etc.)	2015-01-04	No	Yes, from EGIP	